

## Alternatives to Electricity for Transmission, Storage, and Integration

### Renewables-source Hydrogen and Ammonia Fuels via Underground Pipelines, with Storage for < \$1.00 kWh Capex

Humanity's grand challenge now is converting the world's largest industry from ~ 85% fossil to 100% renewable energy (RE) sources as quickly as we prudently and profitably can, to "Run the World on Renewables" -- perhaps including some nuclear, now very hard to predict. Nothing less will allow us to escape these synergistic consequences of large-scale fossil fuel combustion:

- Rapid climate change, generally global warming
- Accelerating sea level rise
- Ocean acidification
- Species extinctions
- Violent human conflicts

Jacobson and Delucchi have demonstrated that we can run the world on wind, water, and solar (WWS).

[1] The wind energy of the twelve Great Plains states, if fully harvested on about 50% of these states' aggregate land area, transmitted to distant markets, and "firmed" at annual scale with storage, could supply the entire annual energy demand of the USA: about 10,000 terawatt-hours (TWh = billion kWh), or about 100 quads (quadrillion btu). However, existing Great Plains electric transmission export capacity is insignificant relative to this resource. Any large, new electric transmission systems, or fractions thereof dedicated to wind energy, will:

- Be very costly to build;
- Be difficult to site because FERC has no authority for permitting interstate electric lines;
- Be difficult to route and permit, because of public objection, as in NIMBY;
- Suffer the same low capacity factor (CF) (typically 40%) as the windplants and other RE plants they serve, unless RE generation is curtailed;
- Provide no affordable "firming" (weekly-to-annual scale) energy storage, thus taxing the "system balancing" ability of the electricity grid;
- Be vulnerable to damage by acts of God and man.

Relieving RE generation and conversion equipment of the requirement to deliver "grid quality" AC (V, f, PF, and harmonics) as well as "low voltage ride through" (LVRT), because RE electricity is entirely delivered to electrolyzers and NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis systems, may significantly reduce the capital and O&M costs of RE.

Therefore, the RE industry needs to look beyond the electricity grid, which now supplies only about one-third of a modern country's total energy, to supply all energy, from all sources, for all purposes, without CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, as firm and dispatchable energy. Electricity systems are not well suited for delivering on-demand energy services from the time-varying output -- at scales of seconds to seasons -- of most renewables, while simultaneously and affordably providing:

1. Gathering and transmission, from both large, stranded, "centralized" RE plants and smaller "distributed" generation (DG)
2. Annual-scale firming storage, rendering RE dispatchable
3. Distribution, integration, and energy services to end-users

A technically and economically superior strategy may be to: [2]

1. Convert the wind or solar plant's entire energy output to the only two C-free fuels, hydrogen, H<sub>2</sub>, and / or anhydrous ammonia, NH<sub>3</sub>
2. Transport the fuels in underground pipelines as gaseous hydrogen (GH<sub>2</sub>) and / or liquid NH<sub>3</sub>
3. Completely avoid the high capital and O&M costs of:
  - a. Electricity grid connection: gathering, field transformers, substation, and HV line links
  - b. Sophisticated generating systems required to deliver grid-quality AC with LVRT
4. Acquire, store, and deliver surplus energy from PV and other distributed RE generation.

Having left electricity at the RE sources, we would now deliver C-free fuel(s) to distant markets for stationary combined-heat-and-power (CHP), transportation fuel, and the complete other spectrum of residential, commercial, and industrial uses. We are thus emulating the natural gas industry's ubiquitous pipeline network and freedom from large, looming "Smart Grid" investments, enabled by their large and diverse array of low-cost energy storage and inherent long time constants.

The electricity grid, as we've known it, is rapidly transforming, perhaps atrophying, under pressure from DG, nascent demand for BEV charging, and new Smart Grid complexity, which together may make the grid more susceptible to cyberattack. While the Grid may always be with us, we need to look beyond it in our compulsion to run the world on renewables.

Figure 1 shows that GH<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> underground pipeline transmission capital costs compare favorably with electricity, oil, and gas per MW-km, which should be the common measure of any transmission system's service. Underground pipelines are better protected; O&M costs per MWh-km are lower. New pipelines are generally easier to site and permit than new electric transmission lines. For example, these pipelines have 8 GW capacity:

- 1 m diameter, 800 km long, GH<sub>2</sub> pipeline, at 100 bar input, 30 bar output, with no midline compression
- 50 cm diameter NH<sub>3</sub> pipeline, with midline pumping, of any length

Figures 2 and 5 show the system simplicity and inherent low-cost energy transmission and storage when high-pressure-output electrolyzers input the GH<sub>2</sub> pipeline; midline compression for < 1,000 km length is

not needed. "Packing" the GH<sub>2</sub> pipeline, as natural gas pipelines routinely are, provides "free" storage. If a national or continental GH<sub>2</sub> pipeline "grid" can access a region of domal salt geology, very large and low-cost RE storage is available in large, deep, solution-mined, man-made, salt caverns, in Figure 3. Multiple caverns may be manifolded together, operating at the same pressure, sharing a surface facility, to reduce capital cost to well below \$ 1.00 / kWh at multi - 100 GWh scale. Two GH<sub>2</sub> storage salt caverns, near Moss Bluff, TX, have operated well, with low O&M: Chevron-Phillips cavern for > 30 years; Praxair cavern for > 7 years.

USA has enough domal salt geology along the Gulf of Mexico coast to install ~ 15,000 large GH<sub>2</sub> storage caverns, providing enough storage to firm the entire Great Plains wind resource, to provide all ~ 100 Quads of USA's total energy demand as annually firm and dispatchable. Northern Germany is similarly endowed with good salt geology for large storage caverns.

The large, nascent H<sub>2</sub> fuel market for fuel cell buses and light duty vehicles (LDV's) should motivate interest in integrated, optimized, RE-source systems. [3] Toyota North America chief Jim Lentz recently told *Automotive News* that Toyota sees battery-electric vehicles (BEV's) only viable in "... a select way, in short range vehicles ..." Transportation fuel may be a larger market than the Grid, and may motivate turbine suppliers to offer equipment optimized for off-grid H<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> fuel production, with simpler, more robust, and less-costly electric generating systems, using variable-speed induction motors, as in Figure 5. Polymer-metal tubing and linepipe developed at ORNL may replace steel for high-pressure transmission pipelines, solving the hydrogen embrittlement and other corrosion problems.

NH<sub>3</sub>, the "other hydrogen", is a higher-hydrogen-density energy carrier and storage medium than liquid hydrogen, LH<sub>2</sub>. A liter of NH<sub>3</sub> contains more H atoms than a liter of LH<sub>2</sub>. The modified internal combustion engine, combustion turbine, and fuel cell run well on NH<sub>3</sub>, while RE transmission and storage costs are even lower than for GH<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 4 shows the Agrium terminal where twin mild steel tanks together hold 80,000 tons of refrigerated, liquid anhydrous ammonia, NH<sub>3</sub>, which stores ~ 500,000 MWh as the energy content in NH<sub>3</sub>, which may be directly used as fuel or may be easily reformed to H<sub>2</sub> fuel and harmless, vented N<sub>2</sub>. At this scale, energy storage costs ~ \$ 0.10 / kWh capex. Over 4,000 km of NH<sub>3</sub> transmission pipeline is already in place in USA, where NH<sub>3</sub> is used primarily as N-fertilizer. These pipelines are low-cost, mild steel, operating at ~ 15 bar.

Figures 6a, 6b, 6c: By any measure, the low cost and high capacity of GH<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> storage is "off the charts" vis-a-vis the several technologies considered for "electricity" storage, as adjuncts to the Grid.

Great Plains wind is very seasonally-variable: about 20% above average in Winter and Spring, 30% below average in Summer. Firming 1,000 MW (nameplate), to allow firm energy delivery every day of the year, would require ~ 300,000 MWh of storage: ~ 4 GH<sub>2</sub> salt caverns or ~ 2 NH<sub>3</sub> tanks. In either case, the storage incremental capex is ~ 3 % of total windplant cost. Compressed air or reflow battery storage is many times higher. If we consider investing in the energy conversion equipment necessary to avail us of this low-cost storage, we should consider solving the transmission and integration problems as well, via

complete, integrated, optimized, RE systems -- from sources to delivered energy services -- employing GH<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> as the transmission and storage media. We should not allow ourselves to be limited to defining "storage" as an appurtenance to the electricity Grid.

Japan is researching three strategies for importing C-emissions-free H<sub>2</sub> from distant sources, as high-hydrogen-density liquids in ocean tankers, to build a significant "hydrogen sector" in their national energy system:

- Liquid hydrogen, LH<sub>2</sub>: Kawasaki Heavy Industry
- Anhydrous ammonia, NH<sub>3</sub> : Sumitomo Chemical
- Toluene - Methylcyclohexane cycle: Chiyoda Chemical and Heavy Industry

Alaska's diverse, abundant, stranded RE resources are strategically close and convenient for export to Japan via any of these schemes, and have been approximately surveyed. [4, 5]

For over a decade, researchers have been bravely and brilliantly engineering wind turbines and solar PV and CSP systems, and the Grid, to accommodate an increasing share of RE, attempting to deliver firm and dispatchable electricity with system stability while displacing fossil generation. This is ever more costly and technically difficult, at the margin, for both generation and transmission. This work is well reported by the IEEE Power and Energy Society in their journal, *Power and Energy*. [6] But we have only begun to ask how RE may serve energy markets beyond the extant electricity sector, whether via an expanded electricity sector and Grid, or perhaps via alternatives to electricity. Do we imagine that we may supply all humanity's energy, for all purposes, from diverse RE sources, entirely -- or even primarily -- via electricity systems?

Therefore, we now need to assemble a collaborative of private enterprise, academia, national labs, funders, and others to thoroughly and expertly model, technically and economically, complete RE systems -- from photons and moving air and water molecules to delivered energy services -- in the context of humanity's responsibility to expeditiously "Run the World on Renewables". Before we invest billions in a larger and smarter electricity Grid, we need to be sure we've considered alternatives, that we're not trying to stuff a square peg into a round hole. This serious consideration has never been done; our attempts have been provocative, but inadequate and unpersuasive. [7] Please contact the author if you wish to consider participating.

[1718 words]

**REFERENCES**

1. *Providing all global energy with wind, water, and solar power, Part I and II*: Delucchi, M.A., Jacobson, M.Z., Energy Policy (2011), doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.11.045  
[https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=Energy+Policy+\(2011\),+doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.11.045&hl=en&as\\_sdt=0&as\\_vis=1&oi=scholart&sa=X&ei=kU76VITGHsbvoAT9 IGABQ&ved=0CBsQgQMwAA](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=Energy+Policy+(2011),+doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.11.045&hl=en&as_sdt=0&as_vis=1&oi=scholart&sa=X&ei=kU76VITGHsbvoAT9 IGABQ&ved=0CBsQgQMwAA)
2. *Running the World on Renewables: Alternatives for Transmission and Low-cost Firming Storage of Stranded Renewables as Hydrogen and Ammonia Fuels via Underground Pipelines*,  
W. Leighty, J. Holbrook, ASME, IMECE2012-87097, Nov 2012, Houston  
<http://leightyfoundation.org/w/wp-content/uploads/asme-imece-12-87097-final-30jul12-c.pdf>  
<http://leightyfoundation.org/w/wp-content/uploads/asme-imece-2012-a.pdf>
3. *The Hydrogen Transition*, ITS NEXTSteps, UC Davis, 2014, J. Ogden, C. Yang, et al.  
<http://steps.ucdavis.edu/files/08-13-2014-08-13-2014-NextSTEPS-White-Paper-Hydrogen-Transition-7.29.2014.pdf>
4. <http://www.uaf.edu/files/acep/Standed-Renewables-Report-Final.pdf>
5. <http://alaskarenewableenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/2009/04/2013-RE-Atlas-of-Alaska-FINAL.pdf>
6. *Power and Energy*, IEEE. <http://magazine.ieee-pes.org/>
7. [www.leightyfoundation.org/earth.php](http://www.leightyfoundation.org/earth.php)

---

Bill Leighty is a Director of The Leighty Foundation and a Principal in Alaska Applied Sciences, Inc. , Juneau, AK USA, with EE and MBA degrees from Stanford. His many co-authored papers and presentations on this topic are at: [www.leightyfoundation.org/earth.php](http://www.leightyfoundation.org/earth.php)  
Contact: [wleighty@earthlink.net](mailto:wleighty@earthlink.net) 907-586-1426 (office) 206-719-5554 (mobile)




Figure 1. Approximate transmission capital costs per MW-km of service, \$ US




Figure 2. Complete Renewable Energy GH2 system with annual-scale firming, dispatchable storage

- 860,000 m<sup>3</sup> physical, 700 m deep, typical
- 150 bar = 2,250 psi
- 2,500 Tons net Hydrogen storage = 92,500 MWh per cavern
- \$ 15M average capex / cavern = \$ 160 / MWh = \$ 0.16 / kWh




Figure 3. Solution-mined compressed Hydrogen storage caverns in deep domal salt. Multiple caverns may be manifolded together, sharing a common surface facility, for very large energy storage.



Figure 4. Agrium US, ammonia storage terminal at Early, Iowa, supplying N-fertilizer for corn ag.






Figure 5. Proposed conversion of an existing, stranded, off-grid, 13-turbine windplant to 100% hydrogen fuel production. A dedicated Wind-to-Hydrogen plant needs no grid connection, and may use low-cost induction motors as generators. "DC Bus and Wind Turbine Control" is the key technology and IP.





**GH2 and NH3**

Figures 6a and 6b. By any measure, the low cost and high capacity of GH2 and NH3 storage is "off the charts" vis-a-vis the several technologies considered for bulk "electricity" storage as adjuncts to the Grid.




Figure 6c. By any measure, the low cost and high capacity of GH<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> storage is "off the charts" vis-a-vis the several technologies considered for bulk "electricity" storage, as adjuncts to the Grid.